Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 9/10/2015 10:43:30 AM Filing ID: 93320 Accepted 9/10/2015

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Periodic Reporting (Proposal Ten)

Docket No. RM2015-19

## UNCONTESTED MOTION OF THE PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE FOR EXTENSION OF COMMENT DEADLINES

(September 10, 2015)

Pursuant to rule 21 of the Commission's rules of practice, the Public Representative respectfully requests an extension of the date for filing initial and reply comments in this proceeding.

Commission Order No. 2666 established September 17, 2015, and September 28, 2015, as the respective deadlines for filing initial and reply comments.<sup>1</sup> On September 8, 2015, Chairman's Information Request No. 2 established September 16, 2015, as the deadline for the Postal Service to file additional information to clarify its proposal in this proceeding.<sup>2</sup>

The Public Representative submits that receipt of the Postal Service's responses to CHIR No. 2 the day before initial comments are due will not afford the Public Representative an adequate opportunity to analyze and incorporate his assessment of the Postal Service's responses into the Public Representative's initial comments. The Public Representative therefore requests that the initial and reply comment deadlines each be extended approximately one week to September 25, 2015 and October 5, 2015, respectively.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Ten), August 17, 2015, at 5 (Order No. 2666).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chairman's Information Request No. 2, September 8, 2015, at 1 (CHIR No. 2)..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The September 25, 2015, requested deadline for initial comments is the day after conclusion of the Papal Visit to Washington, D.C. While the Public Representative anticipates teleworking during the Papal Visit, coordination with legal counsel would be facilitated by having at least one day after the Papal Visit for in-office finalization of his comments.

A grant of this motion should not significantly delay the ultimate resolution of this proceeding, nor should any participant be adversely affected by the requested extensions.

The undersigned is authorized to state that the Postal Service does not oppose a grant of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Oliver Attorney for the Public Representative

901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington D.C. 20268-0001 (202) 789-6878